

## Background

Web-based synoptic reporting systems have been successfully integrated into diverse fields of pathology. They improve efficiency and reduce typographic errors. Coagulation can be a challenging field for both practicing pathologists and trainees alike. A program that assists in formulating the best possible report in a short amount of time serves as both an educational tool for residents and a time management resource for practicing pathologists.

## Design

We have developed a web-based synoptic reporting system composed of 118 coagulation report templates and 27 thromboelastograph report templates covering a wide range of findings ([www.hemepathreview.com](http://www.hemepathreview.com)). The interactive coagulation panels consist of 29 findings which can be selected alone or in any combination. They include mixing study for PT/PTT, platelet aggregations, factor inhibitor screens, von Willebrand panel, and lupus anticoagulant (figure 1). The TEG panel allows for the selection of normal, low or high values for TEG parameters (figure 2). Once the selections are made, the report templates are displayed in a text window for editing.

Mixing Study for PT/PTT:	Factor VIII Inhibitor Screen:
Factor VII deficiency:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative for F VIII inhibitor:
Factor deficiency in the intrinsic pathway:	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive for F VIII inhibitor:
Factor deficiency in the common pathway and/or in both intrinsic and extrinsic pathways:	
Factor inhibitor in the intrinsic pathway:	<b>Factor IX Inhibitor Screen</b>
Factor inhibitor cannot be ruled out:	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative for F IX inhibitor:
Coumadin and Heparin effect or direct thrombin inhibitor:	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive for F IX inhibitor:
Heparin effect	
	<b>Vonwillebrand Panel</b>
<b>Platelet Aggregation</b>	Negative for vWD
Non-diagnostic due to thrombocytopenia, lipemia, or hemolysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative for vWD, positive for Hemophilia A
No evidence of platelet dysfunction	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive for vWD
NSAID effect	
Other medication effect/MPD/SPD/Glanzmann's	<b>Lupus Anticoagulant Panel</b>
Plavix effect vs. ADP receptor defect	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative for lupus anticoagulant with normal dRVVT:
Uremia effect or high gamma globulin level	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative for lupus anticoagulant with prolonged dRVVT:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive for lupus anticoagulant with normal dRVVT:
	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive for lupus anticoagulant with prolonged dRVVT:
<b>Heparin-Induced Platelet Aggregation</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Non-diagnostic for lupus anticoagulant
Negative for heparin-associated antibody	
Negative for heparin-associated antibody with spontaneous platelet aggregation	
Positive for heparin-associated antibody	
Non-diagnostic results due to spontaneous platelet aggregation	

Fig. 1 Coagulation Panel

Enter TEG Data [ref range for citrated whole blood]:

R [5-10 min]:  Normal |  Low |  High

Alpha [53-72 deg]:  Normal |  Low |  High

MA [50-70 mm]:  Normal |  Low |  High

LY30 [0-8 %]:  Normal |  N/A |  High

Notes:  
Normal-in normal range or borderline  
Low-significantly decreased  
High-significantly increased

Diagnose now Start Over Help

**LIST OF DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSES:**

decreased value of MA and Angle Alpha. This finding is suggestive of defects in both primary hemostasis (platelets) and secondary hemostasis (clotting factors). Transfusion with multiple blood components are suggested: fresh-frozen plasma (10-20 mL per Kg body weight, or 4-6 units for an average adult), cryoprecipitate (6 units per 70 Kg body weight), and platelets (6 units per 70 Kg body weight).  
CPT:85390

Thrombelastograph results show markedly prolonged value of R, markedly decreased value of MA and Angle Alpha. This finding is suggestive of hypocoagulable state in DIC. Transfusion with FFP (2 units/70 Kg BW), Cryo (6 units/70 kg BW), and platelets (6 units/70 Kg BW).  
CPT:85390

<Back Home Page

Fig. 2 TEG Panel

## Results

This web-based reporting system was put into place and used by residents on hematopathology starting July 2011. All reports were reviewed by faculty for accuracy and typographical errors before final verification. Evaluation via survey of the program by 24 residents and 2 attending pathologists has been unanimously positive. All reported this reporting system greatly improved turnaround time and accuracy. Typographic, grammatical errors, and exclusion of important information in the drafts were also decreased.

## Conclusions

An easily accessible, user-friendly, and web-based synoptic reporting system for coagulation can be an asset to pathologists at any level of training. Survey data indicate that the program improves efficiency by saving time and reducing errors.

## References

- Zhenhong Q, Ninan S, Almosa A, et al. Synoptic reporting in tumor pathology: advantages of a Web-based system. *Am J Clin Pathol*. 2007;127:898-903.
- Mohanty SK, Piccoli AL, Devine LJ, et al. Synoptic tool for reporting of hematological and lymphoid neoplasms based on World Health Organization classification and College of American Pathologists checklist. *BMC Cancer*. 2007;7:144.doi:10.1186/1471-2407-7-144.
- Murari M, Pandey R. A synoptic reporting system for bone marrow aspiration and core biopsy specimens. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*. 2006;130:1825-1829.