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A revision of the nearly 8-year-old World Health Organization classification of the lymphoid neoplasms and the accompanying monograph is being published. It reflects a consensus among hematopathologists, geneticists, and clinicians regarding both updates to current entities as well as the addition of a limited number of new provisional entities. The revision clarifies the diagnosis and management of lesions at the very early stages of lymphomagenesis, refines the diagnostic criteria for some entities, details the expanding genetic/molecular landscape of numerous lymphoid neoplasms and their clinical correlates, and refers to investigations leading to more targeted therapeutic strategies. The major changes are reviewed with an emphasis on the most important advances in our understanding that impact our diagnostic approach, clinical expectations, and therapeutic strategies for the lymphoid neoplasms. (Blood. 2016;127(20):2375-2390)

Introduction

The 2008 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of hematopoietic and lymphoid tumors and the associated monograph represent the established guidelines for the diagnosis of malignant lymphomas; however, subsequently there have been major advances with significant clinical and biologic implications.1 A major revision is therefore being published that will be an update of the current fourth edition and not a truly new fifth edition as there are still other volumes pending in the fourth edition of the WHO tumor monograph series. Because it is considered a part of the fourth edition, while some provisional entities will be promoted to definite entities and a small number of new provisional entities added, there will be no new definite entities.

As with the 2001 and 2008 classifications, an all-important Clinical Advisory Committee meeting was held in 2014 to obtain the advice and consent of clinical hematologists/oncologists and other physicians critical to the revision (supplemental Appendix, available on the Blood Web site). Additional editorial meetings and consultations followed leading to the updated classification (Table 1).2 Although there are only limited alterations in the classification compared with 2008, the revised monograph will incorporate a large body of information published over the last 8 years relating to existing entities with some important diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic implications. The classification maintains the goals of helping to identify homogeneous groups of well-defined entities and facilitating the recognition of uncommon diseases that require further clarification.3 This manuscript will review the major areas in lymphoid, histiocytic, and dendritic neoplasms where changes from the prior edition are foreseen as well as emphasize conceptual themes (Table 2).

Mature B-cell lymphoid neoplasms

An important element that pervades many parts of the new monograph derives from an explosion of new clinical, pathological, and genetic/molecular data concerning the “small B-cell” lymphomas. The concept that there are lymphoid proliferations that we used to diagnose as overt lymphoid neoplasms but which are not considered as such in 2016 will be further emphasized. Among the aggressive B-cell lymphomas, there are major changes that impact how these cases should be evaluated and diagnosed that have important therapeutic implications as well as being of biologic interest.

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma and monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis

The 2008 monograph recognized monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis (MBL) as the presence of monoclonal B-cell populations in the peripheral blood (PB) of up to 5 × 10^9/L either with the phenotype of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), atypical CLL, or non-CLL (CD5+) B cells in the absence of other lymphomatous features. Found in up to 12% of healthy individuals, in some it may be an extremely...
Table 1. 2016 WHO classification of mature lymphoid, histiocytic, and dendritic neoplasms

**Mature B-cell neoplasms**
- Chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma
- Monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis*
- B-cell prolymphocytic leukemia
- Splenic marginal zone lymphoma
- Hairy cell leukemia
- Splenic B-cell lymphoma/leukemia, unclassifiable
- Splenic diffuse red pulp small B-cell lymphoma
- Hairy cell leukemia-variant
- Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma
- Waldenström macroglobulinemia
- Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), IgM*
- μ heavy-chain disease
- γ heavy-chain disease
- α heavy-chain disease
- Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), IgG/A*
- Plasma cell myeloma
- Solitary plasmacytoma of bone
- Extraosseous plasmacytoma
- Monoclonal immunoglobulin deposition diseases*
- Extramedullary marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT lymphoma)
- Nodal marginal zone lymphoma
- Pediatric nodal marginal zone lymphoma
- Follicular lymphoma
- In situ follicular neoplasia*
- Duodenal-type follicular lymphoma*
- Pediatric-type follicular lymphoma*
- Large B-cell lymphoma with IRF4 rearrangement*
- Primary cutaneous follicle center lymphoma
- Mantle cell lymphoma
- In situ mantle cell neoplasia*
- Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), NOS
- Germinal center B-cell type*
- Activated B-cell type*
- T-cell/histiocyte-rich large B-cell lymphoma
- Primary DLBCL of the central nervous system (CNS)
- Primary cutaneous DLBCL, leg type
- EBV+ DLBCL, NOS*
- EBV+ mucocutaneous ulcer*
- DLBCL associated with chronic inflammation
- Lymphomatoid granulomatosis
- Primary mediastinal (thymic) large B-cell lymphoma
- Intravascular large B-cell lymphoma
- ALK- large B-cell lymphoma
- Plasmablastic lymphoma
- Primary effusion lymphoma
- HHV8+ DLBCL, NOS*
- Burkitt lymphoma
- Burkitt-like lymphoma with 11q aberration*
- High-grade B-cell lymphoma, with MYC and/or BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements*
- High-grade B-cell lymphoma, NOS*
- B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and classical Hodgkin lymphoma

**Mature T and NK neoplasms**
- T-cell prolymphocytic leukemia
- T-cell large granular lymphocytic leukemia
- Chronic lymphoproliferative disorder of NK cells
- Aggressive NK-cell leukemia
- Systemic EBV+ T-cell lymphoma of childhood*
- Hydrosa vacciniforme-like lymphoproliferative disorder*
- Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma
- Extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type
- Enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma

Table 1. (continued)

**Monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis**
- Indolent T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder of the GI tract*
- Hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma
- Subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma
- Mycosis fungoides
- Sézary syndrome
- Primary cutaneous CD30+ T-cell lymphoproliferative disorders
- Lymphomatoid papulosis
- Primary cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma
- Primary cutaneous γδ T-cell lymphoma
- Primary cutaneous CD8+ aggressive epidermotropic cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma
- Primary cutaneous acral CD8+ T-cell lymphoma*
- Primary cutaneous CD4+ small/medium T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder*
- Peripheral T-cell lymphoma, NOS
- Angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma
- Follicular T-cell lymphoma*
- Nodal peripheral T-cell lymphoma with TFH phenotype*
- Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma, ALK+
- Anaplastic large-cell lymphoma, ALK−
- Breast implant-associated anaplastic large-cell lymphoma*

**Hodgkin lymphoma**
- Nodular lymphocyte predominant Hodgkin lymphoma
- Classical Hodgkin lymphoma
- Nodular sclerosis classical Hodgkin lymphoma
- Lymphocyte-rich classical Hodgkin lymphoma
- Mixed cellularity classical Hodgkin lymphoma
- Lymphocyte-depleted classical Hodgkin lymphoma

**Posttransplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD)**
- Plasmacytic hyperplasia PTLD
- Infectious mononucleosis PTLD
- Florid follicular hyperplasia PTLD*
- Polymorphic PTLD
- Monomorphic PTLD (B- and T-/NK-cell types)
- Classical Hodgkin lymphoma PTLD

**Histiocytic and dendritic cell neoplasms**
- Histiocytic sarcoma
- Langerhans cell histiocytosis
- Langerhans cell sarcoma
- Indeterminate dendritic cell tumor
- Interdigitating dendritic cell sarcoma
- Follicular dendritic cell sarcoma
- Fibroblastic reticular cell tumor
- Disseminated juvenile xanthogranuloma
- Erdheim-Chester disease*

Provisional entities are listed in italics. *Changes from the 2008 classification.

Small population, but in others associated with a lymphocytosis. Whereas in 2008 it was unknown whether MBL was a precursor of CLL, we now know that MBL precedes virtually all cases of CLL/ small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL). The updated WHO will retain the current criteria for MBL, but will emphasize that “low-count” MBL, defined as a PB CLL count of <0.5 x 10^9/L, must be distinguished from “high-count” MBL because low count MBL has significant differences from CLL, an extremely limited, if any, chance of progression, and, until new evidence is provided, does not require routine follow-up outside of standard medical care. In contrast, high-count MBL requires routine/yearly follow-up, and has very similar phenotypic and genetic/molecular features as Rai stage 0 CLL, although immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region (IGHV)-mutated cases are more frequent in MBL. Also impacting our diagnostic criteria, the revision will eliminate the option to diagnose CLL with <5 x 10^9/L PB CLL cells in the absence of extramedullary...
disease even if there are cytopenias or disease-related symptoms. Non-CLL type MBL, at least some of which may be closely related to splenic marginal zone lymphoma, is also recognized.9,10

In addition, although other confirmatory studies are necessary, the concept of tissue-based MBL of CLL type will be discussed as there are a subset of cases with lymph node involvement by “SLL” that also do not seem to have a significant rate of progression. In 1 retrospective study, lymph nodes with CLL/SLL in which proliferation centers were not observed and patients in whom adenopathy was <1.5 cm based on computed tomography scans were the best candidates for tissue-based MBL.11

Also related to CLL/SLL, there is increasing interest in proliferation centers (PCs) in overt CLL/SLL. We have learned that: PCs can have cyclin D1 expression in up to about 30% of CLL/SLL, they express MYC protein, and, based on 3 of 4 studies, PCs which are large/confuent and/or have a high proliferative fraction are a significant and independent adverse prognostic indicator.12-16

**Follicular lymphoma, in situ follicular neoplasia, pediatric-type follicular lymphoma, and other related lymphomas**

Consistent with the growing conservatism in lymphoma diagnosis, in situ follicular lymphoma (FL) will be renamed in situ follicular neoplasia (ISFN) with the criteria remaining those described previously. Much has been learned about these neoplasms, which have a low rate of progression, but are more often associated with prior or synchronous overt lymphomas, thus requiring additional clinical assessment.17,18

They must be distinguished from partial involvement by FL that is more likely to progress.17 Unfortunately, the extent of the in situ lesions, such as the number or proportion of abnormal follicles or the degree of involvement within the abnormal follicles, cannot be used to predict which patients have isolated ISFN or who are least likely to progress to an overt lymphoma.17,18 ISFN does have fewer chromosomal copy-number abnormalities than focal and especially overt FL, although secondary genetic abnormalities are present even in the earliest lesions in addition to BCL2 rearrangements.19,20 At the very lowest end of this spectrum, cells similar to those with t(14;18)(q32;q21) IGH/BCL2 translocation that circulate in many healthy individuals may reside in the germinal centers as nonproliferative centrocytes even in the absence of recognizable ISFN.21 However, higher levels of circulating t(14;18)17 lymphocytes (>106 of total cells) indicate a higher risk for FL.22 It is also important to recognize that flow cytometric studies demonstrate populations of B cells with a FL-type phenotype in about half of all lymph nodes with ISFN.18 This is of particular importance in the evaluation of fine-needle aspirations in which architectural features cannot be evaluated.

Pediatric FL will become a definite entity in the 2016 classification but are now known as pediatric-type FL because similar lymphomas may occur in adults.23,24 It is a nodal disease characterized by large expansile highly proliferative follicles that often have prominent blastoid follicular center cells rather than classic centroblasts (or centrocytes).23 Some have reported a moderate number of cases as grade 1-2 of 3. BCL2 rearrangements must not be present, but there may be some BCL2 protein expression. They also lack BCL6 and MYC rearrangements with ongoing investigations of their genetic/molecular landscape.25 Nearly all cases are localized and may not require treatment other than excision. The criteria for pediatric-type FL, however, must be strictly applied to avoid underdiagnosing conventional grade 3 FL, with particular caution required before making this diagnosis in an adult. This category also excludes cases with diffuse areas (ie, foci of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [DLBCL]). Some studies have raised the possibility that pediatric-type FL might be a “benign clonal proliferation with low malignant potential.”19,23,24

**Large B-cell lymphoma (LBCL) with IRF4 rearrangement**, which also occurs most commonly in children and young adults, will be considered a distinct new provisional entity (Figure 1A-D).23,26 These lymphomas most typically occur in Waldeyer ring and/or cervical lymph nodes and are low stage. They may have a follicular, follicular and diffuse, or pure diffuse growth pattern resembling FL grade 3B or a DLBCL. Strong IRF4/MUM1 expression is seen usually with BCL6 and a high proliferative fraction. BCL2 and CD10 are also expressed in more than half of the cases with a minority CD5+. They are most often of germinal center type, particularly based on gene expression profiling (GEP) studies.26 Most cases have IGH/IRF4 rearrangements sometimes together with BCL6 rearrangements but they uniformly lack BCL2 rearrangements. Some cases that also seem to belong in this category lack a demonstrable IRF4 rearrangement but have strong IRF4/MUM1 expression.23 This lymphoma is considered to be more aggressive than other pediatric-type FL but patients, at least when treated, have done very well.20 These cases must be distinguished from the CD10+, IRF4/MUM1+ FL which are often associated with DLBCL and occur in older individuals.27

The current monograph recognizes gastrointestinal (GI) tract FL as a variant. The revision will emphasize the distinctive nature specifically of duodenal-type FL, which although having features of a localized overt low-grade FL, is distinct from other GI tract FL, and has many features that overlap with ISFN as well as some features resembling an extranodal marginal zone lymphoma of mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.19,28,29 These patients appear to have an excellent outcome, including some cases managed with a watch-and-wait strategy.28

The new monograph will also recognize that although some diffuse-appearing FL may simply reflect a sampling issue, there is a group of distinctive largely diffuse low-grade FL that typically present as large localized inguinal masses, lack BCL2 rearrangements, and have 1p36 deletions.30 It should be noted that the latter is not a specific finding and can be seen in other lymphomas including conventional FL.

**Mantle cell lymphoma, leukemic nonnodal mantle cell lymphoma, and in situ mantle cell neoplasia**

**Mantle cell lymphoma** (MCL) classically has been recognized as an aggressive but incurable small B-cell lymphoma that developed in a linear fashion from naïve B cells. Two types of clinically indolent variants are now recognized and reflect, in part, that MCL develops along 2 very different pathways (Figure 2).31 Classical MCL is usually composed of IGHV-unmutated or minimally mutated B cells that usually express SOX11 and typically involves lymph nodes and other extranodal sites. Acquisition of additional molecular/cytogenetic abnormalities can lead to even more aggressive blastoid or pleomorphic MCL. Other MCL develop from IGHV-mutated SOX11+ B cells which leads to leukemic nonnodal MCL, usually involving the PB, bone marrow, and often spleen. These cases are frequently clinically indolent; however, secondary abnormalities, often involving TP53, may occur and lead to very aggressive disease. In situ MCL is now to be called in situ mantle cell neoplasia (ISM CN), again emphasizing a more conservative approach for lymphoid neoplasms with a low rate of progression. It is characterized by the presence of cyclin D1+ cells, most typically in the inner mantle zones of follicles, in lymphoid tissues that do not otherwise suggest the diagnosis of a MCL, and is often found incidentally, sometimes in association with other lymphomas.32 They are much less common than ISFN and although they may be disseminated, appear to have a low rate of progression.32 ISMCN should be distinguished from overt MCL with a mantle zone growth pattern. Nevertheless, these latter cases as well as other classical MCL with a low proliferative fraction may also be relatively indolent.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity/category</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CLL/SLL         | • Cytopenias or disease-related symptoms are now insufficient to make a diagnosis of CLL with $<5 \times 10^9/L$ PB CLL cells.  
• Large/confluent and/or highly proliferative proliferation centers are adverse prognostic indicators.  
• Mutations of potential clinical relevance, such as TP53, NOTCH1, SF3B1, ATM, and BIRC3, have been recognized. |
| Monoclonal B-cell lymphocytosis | • Must distinguish low-count from high-count MBL.  
• A lymph node equivalent of MBL exists. |
| Hairy cell leukemia | • BRAF V600E mutations in vast majority of cases with MAP2K1 mutations in most cases that use IGHV4-34 and lack BRAF mutation. |
| Lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (LPL) | • MYD88 L265P mutation in vast majority of cases impacting diagnostic criteria even though finding is not specific for LPL.  
• IgM MGUS is more closely related to LPL and other B-cell lymphomas than to myeloma. |
| Follicular lymphoma (FL) | • Mutational landscape better understood but clinical impact remains to be determined. |
| In situ follicular neoplasia | • New name for in situ follicular lymphoma reflects low risk of progression to lymphoma. |
| Pediatric-type FL | • A localized clonal proliferation with excellent prognosis; conservative therapeutic approach may be sufficient.  
• Occurs in children and young adults, rarely in older individuals. |
| Large B-cell lymphoma with IRF4 rearrangement | • New provisional entity to distinguish from pediatric-type FL and other DLBCL.  
• Localized disease, often involves cervical lymph nodes or Waldeyer ring. |
| Duodenal-type FL | • Localized process with low risk for dissemination. |
| Predominantly diffuse FL with 1p36 deletion | • Accounts for some cases of diffuse FL, lacks BCL2 rearrangement; presents as localized mass, often inguinal. |
| Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) | • Two MCL subtypes recognized with different clinicopathological manifestations and molecular pathogenetic pathways: one largely with unmutated/minimally mutated IGHV and mostly SOX11+ and the other largely with mutated IGHV and mostly SOX11- (indolent leukemic nonnodal MCL with PB, bone marrow [BM], spleen involved, may become more aggressive).  
• Mutations of potential clinical importance, such as TP53, NOTCH1/2, recognized in small proportion of cases.  
• CCND2 rearrangements in approximately half of cyclin D1+ MCL. |
| In situ mantle cell neoplasia | • New name for in situ MCL, reflecting low clinical risk. |
| Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, NOS | • Distinction of GCB vs ABC/non-GC type required with use of immunohistochemical algorithm acceptable, may affect therapy.  
• Coexpression of MYC and BCL2 considered new prognostic marker (double-expressor lymphoma).  
• Mutational landscape better understood but clinical impact remains to be determined. |
| EBV+ DLBCL, NOS | • This term replaces EBV+ DLBCL of the elderly because it may occur in younger patients.  
• Does not include EBV+ B-cell lymphomas that can be given a more specific diagnosis. |
| EBV+ mucocutaneous ulcer | • Newly recognized entity associated with iatrogenic immunosuppression or age-related immunosenescence. |
| Burkitt lymphoma | • TCF3 or I3D mutations in up to ~70% of cases. |
| Burkitt-like lymphoma with 11q aberration | • New provisional entity that closely resembles Burkitt lymphoma but lacks MYC rearrangement and has some other distinctive features. |
| High-grade B-cell lymphoma, with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 translocations | • New category for all “double-triple-hit” lymphomas other than FL or lymphoblastic lymphomas.  
• Together with the new category for the “double-triple-hit” lymphomas, replaces the 2008 category of B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL and Burkitt lymphoma (BCLU).  
• Includes blastoid-appearing large B-cell lymphomas and cases lacking MYC and BCL2 or BCL6 translocations that would formerly have been called BCLU. |
| High-grade B-cell lymphoma, NOS | • New subtypes recognized with clinicopathologic associations.  
• STAT3 and STAT5B mutations in a subset, latter associated with more clinically aggressive disease.  
• STAT3 mutations more frequently associated with double/triple hit lymphomas.  
• STAT5B mutations more frequently associated with indolent lymphomas.  
• Mutations of potential clinical relevance, such as TP53, NOTCH1, SF3B1, ATM, and BIRC3, have been recognized. |
| T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia | • New subtypes recognized with clinicopathologic associations.  
• LIFE mutations in a subset, latter associated with more clinically aggressive disease.  
• STAT5B mutations in a subset, latter associated with more clinically aggressive disease. |
| Systemic EBV+ T-cell lymphoma of childhood | • Name changed from lymphoproliferative disorder to lymphoma due to its fulminant clinical course and desire to clearly distinguish it from chronic active EBV infection. |
| Hyperdiploid–like lymphoproliferative disorder | • Name changed from lymphoma to lymphoproliferative disorder due to its relationship with chronic active EBV infection and a spectrum in terms of its clinical course. |
| Enteropathy–associated T-cell lymphoma (EATL) | • Diagnosis only to be used for cases formerly known as type I EATL, typically associated with celiac disease. |
| Monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphoma | • Formerly type II EATL; segregated from type I EATL and given a new name due to its distinctive nature and lack of association with celiac disease. |
| Indolent T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder of the GI tract | • New indolent provisional entity with superficial monoclonal intestinal T-cell infiltrate, some cases show progression. |
| Lymphomatoid papulosis | • New subtypes described with similar clinical behavior but atypical histologic/immunophenotypic features. |
| Primary cutaneous γδ T-cell lymphoma | • Important to exclude other cutaneous T-cell lymphomas/lymphoproliferative disorders that may also be derived from γδ T cells such as mycosis fungoides or lymphomatoid papulosis. |
| Primary cutaneous acral CD8+ T-cell lymphoma | • New indolent provisional entity, originally described as originating in the ear. |


Table 2. (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity/category</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary cutaneous CD4⁺ small/medium T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder</td>
<td>• No longer to be diagnosed as an overt lymphoma due to limited clinical risk, localized disease, and similarity to clonal drug reactions. • Remains a provisional entity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL), NOS</td>
<td>• Subsets based on phenotype and molecular abnormalities being recognized that may have clinical implications but are mostly not a part of routine practice at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal T-cell lymphomas with T-follicular helper (TFH) phenotype</td>
<td>• An umbrella category created to highlight the spectrum of nodal lymphomas with a TFH phenotype including angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, follicular T-cell lymphoma, and other nodal PTCL with a TFH phenotype (specific diagnoses to be used due to clinicopathologic differences). • Overlapping recurrent molecular/cytogenetic abnormalities recognized that potentially could impact therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK⁻ anaplastic large-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>• Now a definite entity that includes cytogenetic subsets that appear to have prognostic implications (e.g., 6p25 rearrangements at IRF4/DUSP22 locus).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast implant–associated anaplastic large cell lymphoma</td>
<td>• New provisional entity distinguished from other ALK⁻ ALCL; noninvasive disease associated with excellent outcome.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular lymphocyte–predominant Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>• Variant growth patterns, if present, should be noted in diagnostic report, due to their clinicopathologic associations. • Cases associated with synchronous or subsequent sites that are indistinguishable from T-cell histiocytic–rich large B-cell lymphoma (THRLBCL) without a nodular component should be designated THRLBCL-like transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte-rich classical Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>• Features recognized that are intermediate between NLPHL and other types of classical Hodgkin lymphoma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdheim-Chester disease</td>
<td>• Should be distinguished from other members of the juvenile xanthogranuloma family; often associated with BRAF mutations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other histiocytic/dendritic neoplasms</td>
<td>• Clonal relationship to lymphoid neoplasms recognized in some cases.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact of newer molecular/cytogenetic studies related to the small B-cell lymphoid neoplasms

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) studies have led not only to major advances in better understanding the small B-cell lymphoid neoplasms, but also to discoveries of diagnostic importance. Whereas the 2008 monograph reported that “No cytogenetic abnormality is specific for [hair cell leukemia]”, we now know that BRAF V600E mutations are found in almost all cases of hairy cell leukemia (HCL) but not in HCL-variant (HCL-⁺) or other small B-cell lymphoid neoplasms. More recently, mutations in MAP2K1 which encodes MEK1 (which is downstream of BRAF) have been reported in almost half of HCL-⁺ and in the majority of HCL that use IGHV4-34 and which, like HCL-⁺, lack BRAF V600E mutations.

Similarly, the 2008 monograph noted that “no specific chromosomal or oncogene abnormalities are recognized” in lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (LPL); however, we now know that about 90% of LPL or Waldenström macroglobulinemia (LPL plus an immunoglobulin M [IgM] paraprotein) have MYD88 L265P mutations. This mutation also is found in a significant proportion of IgM but not IgG or IgA monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) cases, a small proportion of other small B-cell lymphomas even after careful review, in ~30% of non-germinal center-type DLBCL, more than half of primary cutaneous DLBCL, leg type, and many DLBCL at immune-privileged sites but not in plasma cell myeloma, even of IgM type. Review of cases with and without the mutation have led to revised criteria for LPL, emphasizing the monotony of the lymphoplasmacytic proliferation in cases other than those undergoing transformation, total architectural effacement in some cases, and allowing for significant follicular colonization. Although seeming to be more inclusive, these studies also suggest that cases previously described as polymorphic LPL and some LPL-like cases of γ heavy chain disease be excluded from the LPL category. These studies also have led to IgM MGUS being thought of as more closely related to LPL or other B-cell lymphomas and segregated from the uniformly wild-type MYD88 IgG and IgA MGUS cases that are more closely related to plasma cell myeloma. Consistent with this approach, CCR4 mutations are found in about 30% of LPL and 20% of IgM MGUS but are not found in IgG or IgA MGUS cases.

The situation with CLL/SLL is quite different and more complex because although there are no recognized disease-defining mutations, there are a large number of mutations that occur with a relatively low frequency. In addition to their biological implications, at least some, such as TP53, NOTCH1, SF3B1, and BIRC3, are of clinical interest because of their adverse prognostic implications and with some being potential direct or indirect therapeutic targets (Figure 3). It has been suggested that some of these could be integrated into an updated cytogenetic risk profile that also includes the well-known recurrent chromosomal abnormalities typically identified with fluorescence in situ hybridization studies; however, although interest remains in this concept, the literature is inconsistent regarding the clinical implications of some of the mutations and combined risk profile, and it will not be recommended in the revised monograph.

In addition to having many nonrandom secondary chromosomal gains and losses as well as recurrent copy-neutral loss of heterozygosity that often involves the same regions where the losses occur such as TP53, MCL also are characterized by having mutations affecting many different genes with ATM (40%–75%) and CCND1 (35%) being the most frequent. Other mutations are present in <15% of cases, including some such as NOTCH1 and NOTCH2 that are of prognostic and potential therapeutic importance. It has also been learned that about half of MCL that lack cyclin D1 expression/CCND1 rearrangements have CCND2 translocations, often with IGK or IGL as a partner locus, a finding that can be of diagnostic utility. Much has also been learned about the mutational landscape in terms of the development and progression in FL. Mutations in chromatin regulator/modifier genes, such as CREBBP and KMT2D (MLL2), are extremely common early events and may be potential therapeutic targets. EZH2 mutations, present in about 20% to 25% of FL, are another early event and potential therapeutic target. Mutations are present in a large number of other genes, including some seen predominantly with transformation, but in significantly lower proportions.
of patients. A new prognostic model integrating gene mutations with clinical parameters has been proposed, but, although conceptually interesting, requires validation. Whether mutational analysis should be performed routinely for diagnostic, prognostic, or therapeutic purposes and whether it should be integrated with other pathological and clinical prognostic factors remains to be determined.

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma

Cell-of-origin classification. The 2008 classification recognized germinal center B-cell-like (GCB) and activated B-cell-like (ABC) molecular “subgroups” of DLBCL based on GEP as well as a group of cases that could not be put into either category (unclassifiable). The GCB and ABC subgroups differed in their chromosomal alterations, activation of signaling pathways, and clinical outcome. It separately recognized GCB and non-GCB immunohistochemical subgroups based on the Hans algorithm which used antibodies to CD10, BCL6, and IRF4/MUM1 but noted that these groups did not “exactly correlate” with the molecular categories and that these subgroups did not determine therapy. However, because GEP was not available as a routine clinical test, and because there were issues of reproducibility and reliability of immunohistochemical algorithms, subclassification of DLBCL, not otherwise specified (NOS) was considered optional in the 2008 classification. The better understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of these 2 subgroups since 2008, however, has led to the investigation of more specific therapeutic strategies to mitigate the worse outcome among those with ABC/non-GCB type DLBCL reported in most studies; prospective trials are ongoing to determine whether these therapies should be incorporated into clinical practice. For this reason, the revised classification will require the identification of these 2 subtypes. With GEP still not a routine clinical test, the use of immunohistochemistry (IHC) algorithms will be considered.
Figure 2. Proposed model of molecular pathogenesis in the development and progression of major subtypes of MCL. Precursor B cells usually with but sometimes without a CCND1 rearrangement mature to abnormal naïve B cells which may initially colonize, often the inner portion of the mantle zones, representing ISMCN. These cells already have additional molecular genetic abnormalities, such as inactivating ATM mutations. They may progress to classical MCL which most frequently is SOX11⁺, has no evidence of transit through the germinal center, and is genetically unstable acquiring additional abnormalities related to cell cycle dysregulation, the DNA damage response pathway, cell survival, and other pathways. Ultimately, progression to blastoid or pleomorphic MCL may occur. A smaller proportion of neoplastic mantle cells may undergo somatic hypermutation, presumably in germinal centers, leading to SOX11⁻ MCL that are more genetically stable for long periods of time and which preferentially involve the PB, bone marrow (BM), and sometimes the spleen. Even these MCL, however, may undergo additional molecular/cytogenetic abnormalities, particularly TP53 abnormalities, leading to clinical and sometime morphological progression. Adapted from Jares et al.¹¹ and Swerdlow et al.² Professional illustration by Patrick Lane, ScEYEnce Studios.

acceptable. Although the Hans algorithm remains the most popular and has a reasonable correlation with the IHC, other algorithms also may be used. It is acknowledged that the IHC algorithms do not recognize the 10% to 15% of tumors unclassified by GEP, have reproducibility issues, and are not uniformly reported to have prognostic utility. Newer methods based on quantification of RNA transcripts extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues provide concordant results with conventional microarray GEP, are reproducible between laboratories, and capture the prognostic impact of the cell-of-origin classification.⁶³-⁶⁶ These methods are still not accessible to most laboratories but may represent a promising alternative to the current IHC-based algorithms.

Other phenotypic and molecular/cytogenetic features of clinical importance. A significant advance in recent years has been the better understanding of MYC alterations in LBCLs.⁶⁷ MYC is rearranged in 5% to 15% of DLBCL, NOS, and is frequently associated with BCL2 or, to a lesser extent, BCL6 translocation, in the so-called “double-hit” or “triple-hit” lymphomas that are included in the updated WHO classification in the new category of high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL), with rearrangements of MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 (see detailed discussion under “High-grade B-cell lymphomas, with and without MYC and BCL2 or BCL6 translocations”).⁶⁸

MYC protein expression is detected in a much higher proportion of DLBCL (30%-50%) and is associated with concomitant expression of BCL2 in 20% to 35% of cases.⁶⁷ Most of these tumors do not carry MYC/BCL2 chromosomal alterations and have been named “double-expressor lymphoma.” Most studies use a cutoff of 40% MYC-expressing cells to define these cases; the cutoff for BCL2 expression has varied considerably in the literature, but a figure of >50% is recommended. In several but not all studies, the double-expressor lymphomas have a worse outcome than other DLBCL, NOS but they are not as aggressive as the HGBL, with rearrangements of MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6.⁶⁹,⁷⁰ These observations have suggested that double expression of MYC and BCL2 proteins without gene aberrations should be considered a prognostic indicator in DLBCL, NOS but not a separate category. CD30 expression in DLBCL, NOS is also of potential interest as it may be a potential target for new antibody-based therapies.

Recent NGS studies have identified common somatic mutations in all subgroups of DLBCL but also a profile of alterations differentially represented in both GCB and ABC subtypes.⁷¹ Somatic mutations common in both DLBCL subtypes are inactivating mutations of TP53 and genes involved in immunosurveillance (B2M, CD58), alterations in epigenetic regulators (CREBBP/EP300, KMT2D/C [MLL2/3], MEF2B), and oncogenic activation of BCL6. GCB-DLBCL carry frequent alteration in the histone methyl transferase EZH2, BCL2 translocations, and mutations in the cell motility regulator GNA13, whereas ABC-DLBCL have mutations in genes (MYD88, CD79A, CARD11, TNFAIP3) activating the B-cell receptor/Toll-like receptor and NF-kB pathways. Although the clinical implications of these mutations are not fully understood, there are increasing expectations that they will become important in guiding future targeted therapies.⁶²,⁷²

EBV⁺ large B-cell lymphomas and EBV⁺ mucocutaneous ulcer

The 2008 monograph included “EBV-positive DLBCL of the elderly” as a provisional entity. These tumors occur in apparently immunocompetent patients usually >50 years old and have a worse prognosis than Epstein-Barr virus–negative (EBV⁻) tumors. Epstein-Barr virus–positive (EBV⁺) DLBCL, however, have been increasingly recognized in younger patients, with a broader morphological spectrum and better survival than initially thought.⁷³-⁷⁵ This new information has led to substitution of the modifier “elderly” with “not otherwise specified” (EBV⁺ DLBCL, NOS) in the updated classification. The NOS is to highlight that there are other more specific entities with nonplastic EBV⁺ large B cells, such as lymphomatoid granulomatosis. In addition,
the new category \textit{EBV$^+$ mucocutaneous ulcer} has been segregated from EBV$^+$ DLBCL as a provisional entity due to its self-limited growth potential and response to conservative management. These lesions may present in advanced age or with iatrogenic immunosuppression.\textsuperscript{76,77}

**Burkitt lymphoma**

Recent NGS studies of \textit{Burkitt lymphoma} (BL) have improved our understanding of the pathogenesis of these tumors. Mutations in the transcription factor \textit{TCF3} or its negative regulator \textit{ID3} occur in about 70\% of sporadic and immunodeficiency-related BL and 40\% of endemic cases. \textit{TCF3} promotes survival and proliferation in lymphoid cells by activating the B-cell receptor/phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling pathways and modulating the expression of cyclin D3, which is also mutated in 30\% of BL.\textsuperscript{82,83} Compared with BL, these lymphomas have more complex karyotypes, lower levels of MYC expression, a certain degree of cytological pleomorphism, occasionally a follicular pattern, and frequently a nodal presentation. The clinical course seems to be similar to BL, but the number of cases reported is still limited. Although more studies are needed, the consensus for the revised WHO classification was to consider these a new provisional entity designated \textit{Burkitt-like lymphoma with 11q aberration} (Figure 1E-H).

**High-grade B-cell lymphomas, with and without MYC and BCL2 or BCL6 translocations**

The 2008 WHO classification introduced the category of “B-cell lymphoma, unclassifiable, with features intermediate between DLBCL
and BL (BCLU) to recognize a subset of very aggressive tumors in which the distinction between DLBCL and BL was very difficult. Lymphomas with a GEP intermediate between that of molecular BL and molecular non-BL (mostly DLBCL), also lends support to the existence of these intermediate-type cases, which were not, however, considered a specific entity.84,85 Segregation of these cases was also necessary to better define these clinically problematic tumors.3 Additional studies followed that demonstrated that BCLU and other LBCL, with rearrangements of MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6, had mutational features intermediate between DLBCL and BL. They also better characterized the double-/triple-hit lymphomas, including identifying features that might mitigate the adverse clinical impact of MYC translocations.68,86-88 The criteria for BCLU, however, are vague and the diagnosis has not been used uniformly, limiting its utility as a diagnostic category.68,86,87

All LBCL with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements will be included in a single category to be designated HGBL, with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements, except for cases that fulfill the criteria for a follicular or lymphoblastic lymphoma (Figures 4 and 5).89 The morphologic appearance should be noted in a comment. The

Figure 4. Diagnostic approach to HBCLs. Lymphomas that potentially fall into the HGBL categories can morphologically resemble B-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma (B-LBL), BL, and DLBCL as well as lymphomas that are intermediate between DLBCL and BL (DLBCL/BL). These distinctions can be very subjective. The orange arrows indicate cases with a BL phenotype and a MYC rearrangement without BCL2 or BCL6 rearrangements (“single hit”). The red arrows indicate cases with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements (“double or triple hit”). Neither MCLs, subtypes of LBCLs, nor Burkitt-like lymphoma with 11q aberration are indicated in this diagram. Adapted from Kluin et al89 with permission. Professional illustration by Patrick Lane, ScEYEnce Studios.

Figure 5. Cytologic spectrum of HGBL, with MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangements. (A-B) This HGBL with MYC and BCL6 rearrangements closely resembles a BL including a starry sky with tingible body macrophages and many intermediate-sized transformed cells although there are some subtle cytologic differences from a classic BL. (C) This HGBL with MYC, BCL2, and BCL6 rearrangements appears more blastic but was TdT+. (D) This HGBL with MYC and BCL2 rearrangements would otherwise have been considered a DLBCL that included many immunoblastic-type cells with single prominent central nucleoli. (A-D) Hematoxylin and eosin stain.
category of BCLU will be eliminated. Cases that appear blastoid or cases intermediate between DLBCL and BL, but which lack a MYC and BCL2 and/or BCL6 rearrangement, will be placed in the category of HGBL, NOS. A consensus has not yet been reached to provide specific guidelines as to which LBCL should have fluorescence in situ hybridization studies. Some believe that all DLBCL should have genetic studies for the detection of MYC, BCL2, and BCL6 rearrangements, whereas others would limit them, for example, to cases with a GCB phenotype and/or high-grade morphology or to cases with >40% MYC+ cells.

**Mature T- and NK-cell neoplasms**

**Nodal T-cell lymphomas: angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma, follicular T-cell lymphoma, and peripheral T-cell lymphoma, not otherwise specified**

Significant advances have occurred in the classification of both nodal and extranodal T-cell and natural killer (NK)-cell neoplasms, which have led to revisions in the classification and introduction of new provisional entities. Many of these changes are the result of genomic studies using approaches to examine GEP and the genetic landscape of T-cell and NK-cell neoplasms.

There have been new insights into the complexity of nodal peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL). Genetic studies have shown recurrent mutations that affect a significant proportion of cases of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL). Importantly, many of the same genetic changes are observed in cases of PTCL, NOS that manifest a T follicular helper (TFH) phenotype.90-92 For this designation, the neoplastic cells should express at least 2 or 3 TFH-related antigens, including CD279/PD1, CD10, BCL6, ITK-SYK, RHOA, and CD28, as well as gene fusions such as ITK-SYK or CTLA4-CD28. All these lesions can take part in the process of lymphomagenesis and may represent the target of tailored therapies (eg, epigenetic modifiers). These cases also share many features by GEP.

Both AITL and FTCL may contain B-cell blasts, often EBV+, in addition to the neoplastic TFH cells. In some cases, the atypical B-cell blasts simulate Hodgkin–Reed-Sternberg cells, leading to a mistaken diagnosis of classical Hodgkin lymphoma (CHL).93,94 Progression to EBV+, and more rarely EBV-, B-cell neoplasms may occur in a subset of cases.95 Nevertheless, although the neoplastic cells share a TFH phenotype and share many genetic changes, clinical and pathological differences remain, so that both diagnoses are retained in the classification. FTCL more often presents with localized disease, with fewer systemic symptoms.

The cases remaining in the PTCL, NOS category still show extreme cytological and phenotypic heterogeneity. GEP studies display a global insight into the histologic and molecular spectrum of PTCL, NOS. They identified mutations of epigenetic mediators (KMT2D [MLL2], TET2, KDM6a, ARID1B, DNMT3A, CREBBP, MLL, and ARID2), genes involved in signaling pathways (TNFAIP3, APC, CHD8, ZAP70, NFI1, TNFRSF14, TRAF3), and tumor suppressors (TP53, FOXO1, BCORL1, ATM).98

**Anaplastic large-cell lymphomas: ALK+, ALK-, and breast implant–associated**

GEP studies also have provided insights into the distinction of CD30-expressing T-cell lymphomas (TCLs), and have facilitated the distinction of PTCL with high CD30 expression from ALK– anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALCL), the latter having a superior prognosis.99,100 ALK+ and ALK– ALCL were both recognized in the 2008 classification, although ALK–ALCL was considered a provisional entity, as criteria for distinguishing ALK–ALCL from CD30+ PTCL were imperfect. Improved criteria now exist for the recognition of ALK–ALCL in daily practice, and it is no longer considered provisional.101

GEP studies have shown that ALK–ALCL has a signature quite close to that of ALK+ ALCL and distinct from other NK/TCLs. More recent studies illuminating the genetic landscape of ALK–ALCL have shown convergent mutations and kinase fusions that lead to constitutive activation of the JAK/STAT3 pathway.102 These studies provide a genetic rationale for the morphologic and phenotypic similarities between ALK+ and ALK–ALCL. However, not all cases of ALK– ALCLs are created equal. A subset with rearrangements at the locus containing DUSP22 and IRF4 in chromosome 6p25 tends to be relatively monomorphic, usually lack cytotoxic granules, and have been reported to have a superior prognosis, whereas a small subset with TP63 rearrangements are very aggressive (Figure 6A).103-105 Interestingly, the same locus in 6p25 has also been implicated in lymphomatoid papulosis (LYP) and primary cutaneous ALCL.106,107 LYP is a clinically diverse disorder, and in recent years a number of new pathological and clinical variants have been described. The WHO classification recognizes the original variants, types A, B, and C; as well as the more recently described types D (mimics primary cutaneous aggressive epidermotropic CD8+ cytotoxic TCL),108 E (angiinvasive),109 and LYP with chromosome 6p25 rearrangement,107 as well as some even more rare variants. Appreciation of these variants is important, as histologically they can mimic very aggressive TCLs, but they are clinically similar to other forms of LYP.

A number of studies in recent years have identified a unique form of ALK–ALCL arising in association with breast implants designated as breast implant–associated ALCL (Figure 6B).110 First described in 1997, it usually presents as an accumulation of seroma fluid between the implant itself and the surrounding fibrous capsule.111 Both saline- and silicone-filled implants have been implicated, with a median interval from the time of the implant to the lymphoma of about 10 years.112 In most cases, the neoplastic cells are confined to the seroma fluid, without invasion of the capsule. In such cases, conservative management is recommended, with removal of the implant and capsule.113 If there is invasion through the capsule, there is risk of lymph node involvement and systemic spread, warranting systemic chemotherapy.114 The factors leading to progression have not been delineated.

**Cytotoxic T-cell lymphomas and leukemias**

Mature T-cell and NK-cell lymphomas and leukemias expressing cytotoxic molecules constitute a heterogeneous group of diseases with variations in clinical behavior and prognosis.115 Other than ALCL,
most of these neoplasms present with extranodal disease, or are systemic with involvement of liver, spleen, and bone marrow. Since the publication of the 2008 monograph, several entities have received greater recognition, and the revised classification reflects the new data. Besides breast implant–associated ALCL, we have the addition of indolent T-cell lymphoproliferative disorder (LPD) of the GI tract and primary cutaneous acral CD8+ TCL as provisional entities.116,117 Both are clonal disorders, usually composed of CD8+ T cells, with an indolent clinical course. The cutaneous acral lesions, first recognized affecting the ear, are nearly always localized to a single site and can be managed conservatively (Figure 6C-D). Indolent T-cell LPD of the GI tract can be derived from either CD8+ or less often CD4+ T cells, affects many sites in the GI tract, but has an indolent clinical course. Their optimal management is not yet determined.

The desire to categorize lymphomas according to the precise cellular origin is attractive, but among the mature TCLs, promiscuity is observed. Some years ago, it was recognized that although hepatosplenic TCL is usually of γδ T-cell derivation, some cases have an αβ phenotype,118 yet are otherwise clinically and genetically similar. Furthermore, among cutaneous TCLs, although γδ TCL are generally aggressive,119,120 γδ variants of mycosis fungoides or other TCLs with an indolent clinical course have been described.121,122

Recent studies have identified recurrent mutations affecting the JAK/STAT pathway in many T-cell and NK-cell malignancies,
further emphasizing the overlapping biology in many of these malignancies.\textsuperscript{123-126} \textit{STAT3} mutations are common in large granular lymphocyte leukemias of both T-cell and NK-cell types.\textsuperscript{123,124} \textit{STAT5B} mutations are more uncommon and are associated with more clinically aggressive disease.\textsuperscript{127} Recurrent mutations of \textit{STAT5B} and less often \textit{STAT3} are seen in hepatosplenic TCL of γδ origin\textsuperscript{128} and a similar pattern was observed in primary cutaneous TCL.\textsuperscript{129} Additionally, \textit{STAT5B} mutations were reported in 36\% of cases of what has been known as enteropathy-associated TCL (EATL), type II, all of which were of γδ T-cell origin.\textsuperscript{129}

These data and others have led to changes in the categorization of intestinal TCLs. It has become apparent that the 2 subtypes of EATL are distinct, and will be more clearly distinguished in the revised WHO classification.\textsuperscript{130} EATL, type I, now simply designated as enteropathy-associated TCL, is closely linked to celiac disease, and is primarily a disease of individuals of northern European origin. EATL, type II, now formally designated as monomorphic epithelioid intestinal TCL (MEITL), shows no association with celiac disease, and appears increased in incidence in Asians, and Hispanic populations (Figure 6E-F). Although EATL generally has a polymorphic cellular composition and wide range in cytology, MEITL is monomorphic, and usually positive for CD8, CD56, and MATK.\textsuperscript{131} Gains in chromosome 8q24 involving \textit{MYC} are seen in a high proportion of cases.\textsuperscript{132} Many cases of MEITL are derived from γδ T cells, but exceptions exist; some cases are T-cell receptor (TCR) silent and some cases express TCR εβ.\textsuperscript{133} Likewise, most cases of EATL express TCR εβ, but γδ variants exist. As noted in the previous paragraph, mutations in \textit{STAT5B} were only associated with γδ MEITL, but investigation of classical EATL or εβ cases was limited.

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas

Primary cutaneous acral CD8\textsuperscript{+} TCL and primary cutaneous γδ TCL are discussed in the previous section. Primary cutaneous CD4\textsuperscript{+} small/medium TCL was included as a provisional entity in the 2008 classification (Figure 6G-H). Since then, several clinical series have been reported, further elucidating its cellular origin and clinical behavior. The cells have a TFH phenotype,\textsuperscript{134} but recurrent mutations as seen in nodal TFH lymphoma have not been reported. The clinical behavior is almost always indolent, with most patients presenting with localized disease. Systemic disease is rare, and conservative local management is sufficient in most patients.\textsuperscript{135-137} It has been suggested that this may represent a limited clonal response to an unknown stimulus, not fulfilling criteria for malignancy. The terminology in the revised classification has been modified to reflect this uncertain malignant potential, designating these cases as primary cutaneous CD4\textsuperscript{+} small/medium T-cell LPD.

EBV\textsuperscript{+} T-cell and NK-cell lymphomas

The most common EBV\textsuperscript{+} NK-cell lymphoma or TCL is extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type, which usually presents in the upper aerodigestive tract. However, there are less common EBV\textsuperscript{+} TCLs and leukemias with different clinical presentations and biology. These are delineated in the upcoming revision of the WHO classification, and somewhat modified from the 2008 monograph. EBV-associated T- and NK-cell lymphoproliferative disorders in the pediatric age group include 2 major groups: chronic active EBV infection and systemic EBV\textsuperscript{+} TCL of childhood.\textsuperscript{138,139} Both occur with increased frequency in Asians, and in indigenous populations from Central and South America and Mexico. Chronic active EBV infection of T/NK type shows a broad range of clinical manifestations from indolent, localized forms like hydroa vacciniforme–like LPD and severe mosquito bite allergy to a more systemic form characterized by fever, hepatosplenomegaly, and lymphadenopathy with or without cutaneous manifestations.\textsuperscript{140,141} Systemic EBV\textsuperscript{+} TCL of childhood, no longer referred to as a “lymphoproliferative disorder,” has a fulminant clinical course usually associated with a hemophagocytic syndrome. The differential diagnosis includes acute EBV-associated hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH), which can present acutely, but in some patients responds well to the HLH 94 protocol, and is not considered neoplastic. Node-based EBV\textsuperscript{+} TCL, defined as demonstrating EBV in the majority of the neoplastic cells, are uncommon and included under the broad heading of PTCL, NOS. They are generally monomorphic and lack the angioinvasion and necrosis of extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma. They most often present in older adults, and also can be seen in the posttransplant setting and other immunodeficiency states.\textsuperscript{101,142,143}

Hodgkin lymphomas

Although the classification of Hodgkin lymphomas (HLs) has not changed, the revision will include updates concerning nodular lymphocyte-predominant HL (NLPHL). It has long been recognized that NLPHL can have varied growth patterns, including some with diffuse areas and/or numerous T cells.\textsuperscript{144} Additionally, cases manifesting one of the variant patterns have been reported to be associated with advanced disease and a higher relapse rate, although they still have good survival.\textsuperscript{144-146} Thus, it is useful to note these features in the diagnostic report.

NLPHL may evolve to a completely diffuse T-cell–rich proliferation lacking any follicular dendritic cells which would be consistent with a T-cell histiocyte-rich LBCL (THRLBCL) or can be associated with such a proliferation at a separate site. Whereas the 2008 monograph said, “It is probably good practice to label cases of NLPHL that progress to a diffuse T-cell–rich pattern as NLPHL, THRLBCL-like…” the revision will recommend the designation of THRLBCL-like transformation of NLPHL, with inclusion of the word “like” due to some remaining uncertainties. This consensus was based on the conclusion from the Clinical Advisory Committee that transformation of NLPHL to DLBCL should be based on WHO criteria (with THRLBCL being a type of LBCL). Recent data indicate that progression to a process with features of THRLBCL is associated with a more aggressive clinical course, and requires different management, such that the term NLPHL in this setting may not be sufficient.\textsuperscript{147,148} However, cases with only focal diffuse areas are not considered transformation. It is also of interest that aside from their immunomorphologic appearance, GEP and array comparative genomic hybridization studies have shown similarities between NLPHL and THRLBCL, suggesting a relationship to each other, in spite of other major differences.\textsuperscript{147,149} The revision will also acknowledge that lymphocyte-rich CHL has some features that are intermediate between other CHL and NLPHL.\textsuperscript{150}

Histiocytic and dendritic cell neoplasms

The classification of the histiocytic and dendritic cell neoplasm is similar to that from 2008 except that the order of the entities is minimally altered and Erdheim-Chester disease has been added, as it should be distinguished from other members of the juvenile xanthogranuloma family.\textsuperscript{1,151} Histiocytic and dendritic cell neoplasms are grouped together based on the functional properties of their
normal counterpart (i.e., phagocytosis and/or processing and presentation of antigens) rather than their cell of origin. Although most arise from a common myeloid precursor, a few are of mesenchymal origin (i.e., follicular dendritic cell sarcoma and fibroblastic reticular cell tumor).

During the last few years, several publications highlighted that, irrespective of their myeloid or mesenchymal origin, some of these neoplasms are associated with or preceded by FL, CLL, B- or T-lymphoblastic neoplasms, or PTCL.152-157 These cases carry the same TCR or IGHV rearrangements and chromosomal aberrations as the associated lymphoid neoplasms, suggesting a process of transdifferentiation.152 Moreover, the BRAF V600E mutation has been reported in the setting of Langerhans cell histiocytosis, histiocytic sarcoma, disseminated juvenile xanthogranuloma, Erdheim-Chester disease, and even follicular dendritic cell sarcoma.158

Summary

There have been major advances in our knowledge of the lymphoid neoplasms and how they should best be treated over the last 8 years. We have seen new insights into the biology and management of both clonal proliferations with limited malignant potential, as well as the aggressive lymphoid neoplasms where more targeted and effective therapies are being investigated. The 2016 WHO classification and associated monograph aim to provide updated diagnostic categories and criteria, together with biological and clinical correlates, and facilitate state-of-the-art patient care, future therapeutics advances, and basic research in this field.
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